

### Introduction

Observing the development of typhoons over the ocean, particularly the vertical variation of hydrometeors, presents challenges. Many cloud microphysical parameterization schemes have been developed for weather and climate models. Most of these parameterizations are "bulk parameterizations", the evaluation of these parameterizations has shown uncertainty across different methods. The PRO observations offer a possibility for evaluating the performance of various cloud microphysics schemes. We performed high-resolution WRF model simulations for three typhoon cases and compared the simulated phase differences with PAZ PRO observations. Such comparison is subject to many uncertainties, including model initial conditions, the difference between the model and observed storm location, as well as details of the simulated cloud distributions.

### Model Configuration and Experimental Design



- Model : WRF model v4.2
- Domain : 15 km for D01, and 3 km for D02
- ✤ Vertical level : 52
- Model top : 20 hPa
- Kain-Fritsch cumulus convection scheme applied only for the D01
- RRTMG radiation physics scheme
- ✤ YSU PBL scheme
- No bogus vortex implementation
- ✤ Initial conditions : ERA5 0.25° resolution
- and GDAS FNL 0.25° resolution
- Microphysics : Purdue Lin, WSM6, Goddard, Thompson, Morrison
- Typhoon Cases: Bualoi (2019), Matmo (2019), Kompasu (2021)

# Simulated Results and Verifications



# **Comparison of WRF Simulations and Polarimetric RO Data** for Hydrometeors around Tropical Cyclones

# Shu-Ya Chen<sup>1</sup>, Ying-Hwa Kuo<sup>2</sup>, Hsiu-Wei Li<sup>1</sup>, Ramon Padullés<sup>3,4</sup>, Estel Cardellach<sup>3,4</sup>, F. Joseph Turk<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> GPS Science and Application Research Center, National Central University, Taiwan <sup>2</sup> UCAR Community Programs, University Corporation for Atmospheric Research, USA <sup>3</sup> Institut de Ciències de l'Espai (ICE-CSIC), Barcelona, Spain <sup>4</sup> Institut d'Estudis Espacials de Catalunya (IEEC), Barcelona, Spain <sup>5</sup> Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA







### Verification against Polarimetric RO

Simulated  $\Delta \Phi_{dp}$  and PAZ  $\Delta \Phi_{dp}$  for TY Bualoi Simulations are adjusted for TC best track and then spatiotemporally interpolated along the PAZ ray path and time.







# PRO forward operator $\Delta \Phi_{dp} = \Phi_H - \Phi_V = \int K_{dp} \, dL$ $K_{dp}(WC) = \frac{1}{2} C\rho \times WC \times (1 - ar)$

 $\Delta \Phi_{dp}$  : Differential phase shift *C* : Rayleigh scattering at L-band  $K_{dp}$ : the specific differential phase  $\rho$ : particle density (g cm<sup>-3</sup>) WC (water content): rain, cloud, ice, *ar* : axis ratio of the hydrometeor snow, graupel, hail from WRF model

Each WRF hydrometeor variable is interpolated along the PAZ ray path.



Middle : Simulated  $\Delta \Phi_{dv}$  and PAZ  $\Delta \Phi_{dp}$ Right : differential phase shift for each member, and light blue shadow described the range for one standard deviation.

# Conclusion

- schemes for typhoon cases.



# UCAR COMMUNITY PROGRAMS

Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology

The results show large variability in the distribution of the model's hydrometeors, which could be influenced by factors such as initial conditions, microphysics parameterization, typhoon location, and the diversity of cloud fields in ensemble forecasts. PRO data can potentially be used to evaluate the performance of different microphysics Generally, PRO observations provide an opportunity to assess the performance of model microphysics schemes.